



(19)

Europäisches Patentamt
European Patent Office
Office européen des brevets



(11)

EP 0 522 766 B1

(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT SPECIFICATION

(45) Date of publication and mention
of the grant of the patent:
04.06.1997 Bulletin 1997/23

(51) Int Cl.⁶: C11D 3/37, C11D 3/12,
C11D 3/10, C11D 17/00

(21) Application number: 92306005.7

(22) Date of filing: 30.06.1992

(54) Detergent compositions in tablet form

Detergengzusammensetzungen in Tablettenform

Compositions détergentes sous forme de tablettes

(84) Designated Contracting States:
CH DE ES FR GB IT LI NL SE

(30) Priority: 01.07.1991 GB 9114184

(43) Date of publication of application:
13.01.1993 Bulletin 1993/02

(73) Proprietors:

- UNILEVER PLC
London EC4P 4BQ (GB)
Designated Contracting States:
GB
- UNILEVER N.V.
3013 AL Rotterdam (NL)
Designated Contracting States:
CH DE ES FR IT LI NL SE

(72) Inventors:

- Fry, Alan John
South Wirral, Merseyside L65 5DL (GB)
- Newbold, Geoffrey
Wirral, Merseyside L63 9LS (GB)

- Garvey, Michael Joseph
Wirral, Merseyside L60 1XU (GB)
- Wraige, Douglas
Upton, Chester, CH2 1NN (GB)
- Iley, William John
Wirral, Merseyside L63 9LY (GB)

(74) Representative: Fransella, Mary Evelyn et al
Unilever PLC
Patent Division
Colworth House
Sharnbrook
Bedford MK44 1LQ (GB)

(56) References cited:


EP-A- 0 170 791 EP-A- 0 466 484
DE-A- 3 326 459 FR-A- 2 372 890
US-A- 3 231 505

Remarks:

The file contains technical information submitted
after the application was filed and not included in this
specification

EP 0 522 766 B1

Note: Within nine months from the publication of the mention of the grant of the European patent, any person may give notice to the European Patent Office of opposition to the European patent granted. Notice of opposition shall be filed in a written reasoned statement. It shall not be deemed to have been filed until the opposition fee has been paid. (Art. 99(1) European Patent Convention).



DescriptionTECHNICAL FIELD

5 The present invention relates to detergent compositions in the form of tablets of compacted detergent powder.

BACKGROUND AND PRIOR ART

10 Detergent compositions in tablet form are known in the art, as discussed below, and some products are now on the market. Tablets have several advantages over powdered products: they do not require measuring and are thus easier to handle and dispense into the washload, and they are more compact, hence facilitating more economical storage.

Detergent tablets are described, for example, in GB 911 204 (Unilever), US 3 953 350 (Kao), JP 60 015 500A (Lion), JP 60 135 497A (Lion) and JP 60 135 498A (Lion); and are sold commercially in Spain.

15 Detergent tablets are generally made by compressing or compacting a detergent powder. It has proved difficult, however, to strike a balance between tablet strength and ability to disperse and dissolve in the wash liquor. Tablets formed using only a light compaction pressure tend to crumble and disintegrate on handling and packing; while more strongly compacted tablets may be sufficiently cohesive but will then fail to disintegrate or disperse to an adequate extent in the wash.

20 This problem has proved especially acute with tablets formed by compressing conventionally produced spray-dried powders containing detergent-active compounds and built with insoluble sodium aluminosilicate (zeolite). As the tablet is wetted, highly viscous gel phases are apparently formed which retard or prevent penetration of water into the interior of the tablet.

25 It would appear that the problem of disintegration in the wash liquor arises to a much lesser extent when sodium tripolyphosphate is present in the formulation, because the ready solubility and high heat of hydration of the phosphate cause it to behave as a tablet disintegrant. Preparation of satisfactory tablets from modern formulations where sodium tripolyphosphate has been replaced by an insoluble material, crystalline sodium aluminosilicate (zeolite), is proving considerably more difficult.

30 GB 983 243 and GB 989 683 (Colgate-Palmolive) disclose detergent tablets having improved dissolution properties, prepared by compacting spray-dried detergent powders that have been sprayed with water or with aqueous sodium silicate solution in order to reduce the proportion of fine particles (smaller than 100 mesh (US), equivalent to 149 μ m) present. Compaction of powders having particle size ranges of 8-100 mesh and 6-60 mesh (US), equivalent respectively to 149-2380 μ m and 250-3360 μ m, is disclosed. The whole tablet is coated with a film-forming polymer to aid resistance to abrasion and accidental damage. The powders contain high levels of sodium tripolyphosphate.

35 EP 466 484A (Unilever PLC) published 15 January 1992 discloses detergent tablets of compacted particles having a narrow size cut, and uniformity and regularity of particle shape; benefits are improved disintegration in the wash and attractive appearance.

40 It has now been found that greatly improved disintegration and dispersion properties may also be obtained from a tablet consisting essentially of a matrix of compacted granules having a wider particle size range than that disclosed in EP 466 484A (Unilever) published 15 January 1992 provided that at least the particles of detergent-active compound and detergent builder are coated with binder/disintegrant before tablet compaction. The benefits are especially apparent in tablets prepared from zeolite-built detergent powders, and from high-bulkdensity detergent powders.

DEFINITION OF THE INVENTION

45 The present invention accordingly provides a tablet of compacted particulate detergent composition comprising a detergent-active compound, a detergency builder, and optionally other detergent ingredients, characterised in that the tablet or a discrete region thereof, consists essentially of a matrix of particles substantially free of particles <200 μ m, i. e. no more than 5wt% of which are smaller than 200 μ m, the particles of detergent-active compound and detergent
50 builder and optionally the particles of ingredients of the detergent base powder being individually coated with a binder material which acts as a physical disintegrant capable, when the tablet is immersed in water, of disrupting the structure of the tablet; but excluding a tablet wherein at least 90wt% of the particles of the matrix have a particle size within a range having upper and lower limits differing from each other by not more than 700 μ m, while not more than 5wt% are smaller than the lower limit and not more than 5wt% are larger than the upper limit.

55

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The detergent tablet of the invention, or a discrete region of the tablet, is in the form of a matrix derived by com-

paction from a particulate composition consisting essentially of particles at least some of which are coated with binder/disintegrant, the particle size range being relatively wide, but small particles ("fines") (200 μm being substantially absent.

Particle size and distribution

5 The matrix which is an essential feature of the detergent tablet of the invention, is derived by compaction of a particulate detergent composition substantially free of small particles, and preferably of controlled particle size and distribution.

10 Preferably, the composition consists substantially wholly of particles within the size range of 200 to 2000 μm , more preferably from 250 to 1400 μm , and is desirably substantially free of both larger and smaller particles. By "substantially" is meant that not more than 5 wt% of particles should be larger than the upper limit, and not more than 5 wt% should be smaller than the lower limit.

15 This distribution is different from that of a conventional spray-dried detergent powder. Although the average particle size of such a powder is typically about 300-500 μm , the particle size distribution will include a "fines" (particles $\leq 200 \mu\text{m}$) content of 10-30 wt%.

Such a powder may nevertheless be a suitable starting material for a tablet according to the present invention, if the fines are eliminated first by sieving.

20 While the starting particulate composition may in principle have any bulk density, the present invention is especially relevant to tablets made by compacting powders of relatively high-bulk-density, because of their greater tendency to exhibit disintegration and dispersion problems. Such tablets have the advantage that, as compared with a tablet derived from a low-bulk-density powder, a given dose of detergent composition can be presented as a smaller tablet.

Thus the starting particulate composition may suitably have a bulk density of at least 400 g/litre, preferably at least 500 g/litre, and advantageously at least 700g/litre.

25 Granular detergent compositions of high bulk density prepared by granulation and densification in a high-speed mixer/granulator, as described and claimed in EP 340 013A (Unilever), EP 352 135A (Unilever), and EP 425 277A (Unilever), or by the continuous granulation/densification processes described and claimed in EP 367 339A (Unilever) and EP 390 251 A (Unilever), are inherently suitable for use in the present invention.

30 Most preferred are granular detergent compositions prepared by granulation and densification in the high-speed mixer/granulator (Fukae mixer), as described in the above-mentioned EP 340 013 A (Unilever) and EP 425 277 A (Unilever). With some compositions, this process can produce granular compositions satisfying the criteria of particle size distribution given above, without sieving or other further treatment.

35 The tablet of the invention may be either homogeneous or heterogeneous. In the present specification, the term "homogeneous" is used to mean a tablet produced by compaction of a single particulate composition, but does not imply that all the particles of that composition will necessarily be of identical composition. The term "heterogeneous" is used to mean a tablet consisting of a plurality of discrete regions, for example, layers, inserts or coatings, each derived by compaction from a particulate composition.

40 In a heterogeneous tablet, any one or more of the discrete regions may consist essentially of a matrix as defined above. Where two or more such matrices are present in different regions, they may have the same or different particle size ranges: for example, a first region (for example, outer layer) may consist essentially of particles with a relatively wide particle size range (for example, 250 to 1400 μm) while another (inner core) may consist essentially of particles with a relatively narrow particle range (for example, 500 to 710 μm).

45 It is within the scope of the invention, for a minor proportion of visually contrasting particles not within the size range of the matrix to be present: the most obvious example of this being the inclusion of a small proportion of much larger particles. In this embodiment of the invention, the visually contrasting particles must be larger in at least one dimension than the matrix particles. The effect of contrast may be enhanced if the non-matrix particles are of a contrasting shape, for example, nodules. Visual contrast may if desire be further emphasised by the use of a contrasting colour.

50 As previously indicated, it is not necessary for all the particles constituting the matrix to be of identical composition. The particulate starting composition may be a mixture of different components, for example, a spray-dried detergent base powder, surfactant particles, additional builder salts, bleach ingredients and enzyme granules, provided that all satisfy the criteria on particle size.

Binder/Disintegrant

55 According to the second essential feature of the invention, at least the particles of detergent-active compound and detergent builder are coated with a binder, which is also capable of acting as a disintegrant by disrupting the structure of the tablet when the tablet is immersed in water, before admixing with the other optional detergent ingredients and compaction into a tablet.

Optionally, the particles of ingredients of the detergent base powder may be coated with binder/disintegrant. However, particles of ingredients which are typically post-dosed, for example bleach, enzymes, are preferably not coated with binder/disintegrant.

Use of a binder helps to hold the tablet together, thus enabling it to be made using a lower compaction pressure and making it inherently more likely to disintegrate well in the wash liquor. If the binder is also a material that causes disruption when contacted with water, even better disintegration properties may be achieved.

Tablet disintegrants are well known in the pharmaceutical art and are known to act by four principle mechanisms: swelling, porosity and capillary action (wicking), and deformation (all physical), and effervescence (chemical). Tablet disintegrants in the pharmaceutical industry are reviewed by W Lowenthal, Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences Volume 61, No. 11 (November 1972).

However, since it is essential for the binder/disintegrant to coat or envelop the particles of at least the detergent-active compound and the detergent builder and, optionally, the particles of ingredients of the detergent base powder, rather than simply to be mixed with them, only physical disintegrants are suitable. These include organic materials such as starches, for example, corn, maize, rice and potato starches and starch derivatives, such as Primojel (Trade Mark) carboxymethyl starch and Explotab (Trade Mark) sodium starch glycolate; celluloses and cellulose derivatives, for example, Courlose (Trade Mark) and Nymcel (Trade Mark) sodium carboxymethyl cellulose, Ac-di-Sol (Trade Mark) cross-linked modified cellulose, and Hanfloc (Trade Mark) microcrystalline cellulosic fibres; and various synthetic organic polymers, notably polyethylene glycol; crosslinked polyvinyl pyrrolidone, for example, Polyplasdone (Trade Mark) XL or Kollidon (Trade Mark) CL. Inorganic swelling disintegrants include bentonite clay.

The binder/disintegrant may suitably be applied to the particles by spraying on in solution or dispersion form.

Some disintegrants may additionally give a functional benefit in the wash, for example, supplementary building, antiredeposition or fabric softening.

Preferred binder/disintegrants are polymers. A more preferred binder/disintegrant is crosslinked polyvinyl pyrrolidone, for example, Polyplasdone (Trade Mark) XL or Kollidon (Trade Mark) CL.

An especially preferred binder/disintegrant is polyethylene glycol.

The binder/disintegrant is preferably used in an amount within the range of from 0.1 to 10 wt%, more preferably from 1 to 5 wt%.

It is also within the scope of the invention to use, in addition to the binder/disintegrant required to coat at least the particles of detergent active compound and detergent builder, a binder that has no disintegrant properties, or a disintegrant that has no binder properties. An example of the latter type of material is an effervescent (chemical) disintegrant.

Effervescent disintegrants include weak acids or acid salts, for example, citric acid, maleic acid or tartaric acid, in combination with alkali metal carbonate or bicarbonate; these may suitably be used in an amount of from 1 to 25 wt%, preferably from 5 to 15 wt%. Further examples of acid and carbonate sources and other effervescent systems may be found in Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms: Tablets, Volume 1, 1989, pages 287-291 (Marcel Dekker Inc, ISBN 0-8247-8044-2).

Tablet binders are well known in the art and include natural gums (for example, acacia, tragacanth) and sugars (for example, glucose, sucrose).

Disintegration

The detergent tablet of the invention should be capable of rapid disintegration in the wash liquor. For the purposes of the present invention, disintegration time has been investigated by means of the following test.

The tablet is weighed, placed in a cage of perforated metal gauze (9 cm x 4.5 cm x 2 cm) having 16 apertures (each about 2.5 mm square) per cm². The cage is then suspended in a beaker of demineralised water at 20 °C and rotated at 80 rpm. The time taken for the tablet to disintegrate and fall through the gauze (the disintegration time) is recorded; after 10 minutes, if the tablet has not wholly disintegrated, the residue is determined by weighing after drying.

It will be appreciated that this is a very stringent test, since water temperature and agitation are both much lower than in a real wash situation in a machine with a washload present. Disintegration times under real wash conditions are expected to be shorter.

The tablet of the invention should ideally have a disintegration time (as defined above) not exceeding 10 minutes, and preferably not exceeding 5 minutes. However, in view of the extreme stringency of the test methodology, a more realistic criterion correlating better with washing machine results (see below) appears to be that the residue after 10 minutes should preferably not exceed 75 wt%, and more preferably should not exceed 50 wt%.

Also important is the time taken for the tablet to disperse or dissolve, and thereby release its active ingredients into the wash liquor. Dissolution times have been investigated in a National W102 top-loading impeller-driven washing machine, using a 10-minute wash cycle and determining any undispersed residues remaining (by drying and weighing) after 5 minutes. During the 5-minute period, dissolution is monitored by conductivity measurement: the dissolution time is defined as the time taken for the conductivity to reach a plateau. It will be appreciated that conductivity measures

only the dissolution of the water-soluble ingredients of the tablet, while any insoluble ingredients (notably zeolite) will simultaneously be dispersed.

Ideally a tablet suitable for use in this type of washing machine should be completely dispersed or dissolved in less than 5 minutes. It will be appreciated, however, that less stringent criteria need be applied when the tablet is intended for use in a washing machine, for example, a typical European drum-type machine, having a wash cycle involving a longer time period, a higher wash temperature or a greater degree of agitation.

Tabletting

As previously indicated, the tablets of the invention are prepared by compaction of a particulate starting material. Any suitable tabletting apparatus may be used.

For any given starting composition, the disintegration time (as defined above) will vary with the compaction pressure used to form the tablet. If the compaction pressure is too low, the tablet will tend to crumble and break up in the dry state, on handling and packaging; an increase in compaction pressure will improve tablet integrity, but eventually at the expense of disintegration time in the wash liquor.

Using an Instron (Trade Mark) Universal Testing Machine at constant speed, or a Research and Industrial screw hand press, to operate a steel punch and die, it has been found that effective tablets may be produced using compaction pressures ranging from 0.1 to 20 MPa, especially from 0.1 to 10 MPa, more especially from 0.1 to 5 MPa.

The optimum compaction pressure will depend to some extent on the starting composition; for example, a formulation containing a high proportion of organic ingredients (for example, surfactants) and a low proportion of inorganic salts may require a compaction pressure lower than that required for a formulation containing a lower proportion of organic ingredients and a higher proportion of inorganic salts; and a dry-mixed formulation will generally require a higher pressure than will a spray-dried powder.

As a measure of the resistance of the tablets to fracture, the diametral fracture stress σ_0 calculated from the following equation:

$$\sigma_0 = \frac{2P}{\pi Dt}$$

where σ_0 is the diametral feature stress (Pa), P is the applied load to cause fracture (N), D is the tablet diameter (M) and t is the tablet thickness (M).

Tablets of the invention preferably have a diametral fracture stress of at least 5 kPa, and more preferably at least 7 kPa.

Tablet forms

The detergent tablet of the invention may be, and preferably is, formulated for use as a complete heavy-duty fabric washing composition. The consumer then does not need to use a mix of tablets having different compositions.

Although one tablet may contain sufficient of every component to provide the correct amount required for an average washload, it is convenient if each tablet contains a submultiple quantity of the composition required for average washing conditions, so that the consumer may vary the dosage according to the size and nature of the washload. For example, tablet sizes may be chosen such that two tablets are sufficient for an average washload; one or more further tablets may be added if the washload is particularly large or soiled; and one only tablet may be used if the load is small or only lightly soiled.

Alternatively, larger subdivisible tablets representing a single or multiple dose may be provided with scorings or indentations to indicate unit dose or submultiple unit dose size to the consumer and to provide a weak point to assist the consumer in breaking the tablet if appropriate.

The size of the tablet will suitably range from 10 to 160 g, preferably from 15 to 60 g, depending on the wash conditions under which it is intended to be used, and whether it represents a single dose, a multiple dose or a submultiple dose.

The tablet may be of any suitable shape, but for manufacturing and packaging convenience is preferably of uniform cross-section, for example, circular (preferred) or rectangular.

As previously indicated, the tablet of the invention may be homogeneous, or may consist of more than one discrete region: for example, two or more layers of different composition may be present, or a core region may be wholly surrounded by an outer region of different composition.

Detergent-active compounds

The total amount of detergent-active material in the tablet of the invention is suitably from 2 to 50 wt%, and is preferably from 5 to 40 wt%. Detergent-active material present may be anionic (soap or non-soap), cationic, zwitterionic, amphoteric, nonionic or any combination of these.

Anionic detergent-active compounds may be present in an amount of from 2 to 40wt%, preferably from 4 to 30 wt%.

Synthetic anionic surfactants are well known to those skilled in the art. Examples include alkylbenzene sulphonates, particularly sodium linear alkylbenzene sulphonates having an alkyl chain length of C_8 - C_{15} ; primary and secondary alkyl sulphates, particularly sodium C_{12} - C_{15} primary alcohol sulphates; olefin sulphonates; alkane sulphonates; dialkyl sulposuccinates; and fatty acid ester sulphonates.

It may also be desirable to include one or more soaps of fatty acids. These are preferably sodium soaps derived from naturally occurring fatty acids, for example, the fatty acids from coconut oil, beef tallow, sunflower or hardened rapeseed oil.

Anionic surfactants are preferably concentrated in discrete domains as described and claimed in our copending application GB 90 15504.5 (Unilever PLC, EP-A-466 485) Suitable nonionic detergent compounds which may be used include in particular the reaction products of compounds having a hydrophobic group and a reactive hydrogen atom, for example, aliphatic alcohols, acids, amides or alkyl phenols with alkylene oxides, especially ethylene oxide either alone or with propylene oxide.

Specific nonionic detergent compounds are alkyl (C_{6-22}) phenol-ethylene oxide condensates, the condensation products of linear or branched aliphatic C_{8-20} primary or secondary alcohols with ethylene oxide, and products made by condensation of ethylene oxide with the reaction products of propylene oxide and ethylenediamine. Other so-called nonionic detergent compounds include long-chain tertiary amine oxides, tertiary phosphine oxides, and dialkyl sulfoxides.

Especially preferred are the primary and secondary alcohol ethoxylates, especially the C_{12-15} primary and secondary alcohols ethoxylated with an average of from 5 to 20 moles of ethylene oxide per mole of alcohol.

The nonionic detergent-active compounds are preferably concentrated in discrete domains. Since the nonionic detergent compounds are generally liquids, these domains are preferably formed from any of the well-known carriers in the detergent business impregnated by nonionic detergent-active compound. Preferred carriers include zeolite; zeolite granulated with other materials, for example, Wessalith CS (Trade Mark), Wessalith CD (Trade Mark), Vegabond GB (Trade Mark), sodium perborate monohydrate; Burkeite (spray-dried sodium carbonate and sodium sulphate as disclosed in EP 221 776 (Unilever)).


Nonionic detergent-active compounds may optionally be mixed with materials which make the granules slow wetting and/or prevent the nonionic leaching out into the main tablet matrix. Such materials may suitably be fatty acids, especially lauric acid.

Detergency builders

The detergent tablets of the invention contain one or more detergency builders, suitably in an amount of from 5 to 80 wt%, preferably from 20 to 80 wt%.

The invention is of especial relevance to tablets derived from detergent compositions containing alkali metal aluminosilicates as builders, since such tablets appear to have a particular tendency to exhibit disintegration and dispersion problems.

Alkali metal (preferably sodium) aluminosilicates may suitably be incorporated in amounts of from 5 to 60% by weight (anhydrous basis) of the composition, and may be either crystalline or amorphous or mixtures thereof, having the general formula:



These materials contain some bound water and are required to have a calcium ion exchange capacity of at least 50 mg CaO/g. The preferred sodium aluminosilicates contain 1.5-3.5 SiO_2 units (in the formula above). Both the amorphous and the crystalline materials can be prepared readily by reaction between sodium silicate and sodium aluminate, as amply described in the literature.

Suitable crystalline sodium aluminosilicate ion-exchange detergency builders are described, for example, in GB 1 429 143 (Procter & Gamble). The preferred sodium aluminosilicates of this type are the well-known commercially available zeolites A and X, and mixtures thereof. Also of interest is the novel zeolite P described and claimed in EP 384 070 (Unilever).

Other builders may also be included in the detergent tablet of the invention if necessary or desired: suitable organic

or inorganic water-soluble or water-insoluble builders will readily suggest themselves to the skilled detergent formulator. Inorganic builders that may be present include alkali metal (generally sodium) carbonate; while organic builders include polycarboxylate polymers, such as polyacrylates, acrylic/maleic copolymers, and acrylic phosphinates; monomeric polycarboxylates such as citrates, gluconates, oxydisuccinates, glycerol mono-, di- and trisuccinates, carboxymethyl-
 5 loxysuccinates, carboxymethyloxymalonates, dipicolinates, hydroxyethyliminodiacetates; and organic precipitant builders such as alkyl- and alkenylmalonates and succinates, and sulphonated fatty acid salts.

Especially preferred supplementary builders are polycarboxylate polymers, more especially polyacrylates and acrylic/maleic copolymers, suitably used in amounts of from 0.5 to 15 wt%, especially from 1 to 10 wt%; and monomeric polycarboxylates, more especially citric acid and its salts, suitably used in amounts of from 3 to 20 wt%, more preferably
 10 from 5 to 15 wt%.

Preferred tabletted compositions of the invention preferably do not contain more than 5 wt% of inorganic phosphate builders, and are desirably substantially free of phosphate builders. However, phosphate-built tabletted compositions are also within the scope of the invention.

15 Other ingredients

Preferred tabletted detergent compositions according to the invention suitably contain 10-20 wt% sodium carbonate, in order to achieve a desired pH of greater than 9. However, we have discovered that the addition of sodium carbonate into the initial slurry which is spray-dried to form the base powder can influence the final tablet strength.
 20 This effect can be minimised to some extent by post-dosing the sodium carbonate prior to tableting.

Tabletted detergent compositions according to the invention may also suitably contain a bleach system. This preferably comprises one or more peroxy bleach compounds, for example, inorganic persalts or organic peroxyacids, which may be employed in conjunction with activators to improve bleaching action at low wash temperatures.

Preferred inorganic persalts are sodium perborate monohydrate and tetrahydrate, and sodium percarbonate, advantageously employed together with an activator. Bleach activators, also referred to as bleach precursors, have been
 25 widely disclosed in the art. Preferred examples include peracetic acid precursors, for example, tetraacetylene diamine (TAED), now in widespread commercial use in conjunction with sodium perborate; and perbenzoic acid precursors. The novel quaternary ammonium and phosphonium bleach activators disclosed in US 4 751 015 and US 4 818 426 (Lever Brothers Company) are also of great interest. The bleach system may also include a bleach stabiliser
 30 (heavy metal sequestrant) such as ethylenediamine tetramethylene phosphonate and diethylenetriamine pentamethylene phosphonate. The skilled detergent worker will have no difficulty in applying the normal principles of formulation to choose a suitable bleach system.

The detergent tablets of the invention may also contain one of the detergency enzymes well-known in the art for their ability to degrade and aid in the removal of various soils and stains. Suitable enzymes include the various proteases, cellulases, lipases, amylases, and mixtures thereof, which are designed to remove a variety of soils and stains
 35 from fabrics. Examples of suitable proteases are Maxatase (Trade Mark), as supplied by Gist-Brocades N.V., Delft, Holland, and Alcalase (Trade Mark), Esperase (Trade Mark), and Savinase (Trade Mark), as supplied by Novo Industri A/S, Copenhagen, Denmark. Detergency enzymes are commonly employed in the form of granules or marumes, optionally with a protective coating, in amounts of from about 0.1% to about 3.0% by weight of the composition; and these
 40 granules or marumes present no problems with respect to compaction to form a tablet.

The detergent tablets of the invention may also contain a fluoescer (optical brightener), for example, Tinopal (Trade Mark) DMS or Tinopal CBS available from Ciba-Geigy AG, Basel, Switzerland. Tinopal DMS is disodium 4,4'-bis-(2-morpholino-4-anilino-s-triazin-6-ylamino) stilbene disulphonate; and Tinopal CBS is disodium 2,2'-bis-(phenyl-styryl) disulphonate.

An antifoam material is advantageously included in the detergent tablet of the invention, especially if the tablet is primarily intended for use in front-loading drum-type automatic washing machines. Suitable antifoam materials are usually in granular form, such as those described in EP 266 863A (Unilever). Such antifoam granules typically comprise a mixture of silicone oil, petroleum jelly, hydrophobic silica and alkyl phosphate as antifoam active material, sorbed
 45 onto a porous absorbent water-soluble carbonate-based inorganic carrier material. Antifoam granules may be present in any amount up to 5% by weight of the composition.
 50

It may also be desirable to include in the detergent tablet of the invention an amount of an alkali metal silicate, particularly sodium ortho-, meta- or preferably alkali metal silicates at levels, for example, of 0.1 to 10 wt%, may be advantageous in providing protection against the corrosion of metal parts in washing machines, besides providing some measure of building and giving processing benefits.

Further ingredients which can optionally be employed in the detergent tablet of the invention include antiredeposition agents such as sodium carboxymethylcellulose, straight-chain polyvinyl pyrrolidone and the cellulose ethers such as methyl cellulose and ethyl hydroxyethyl cellulose; fabric-softening agents; heavy metal sequestrants such as EDTA; perfumes; pigments, colorants or coloured speckles; and inorganic salts such as sodium and magnesium sulphate.
 55

Sodium sulphate may if desired be present as a filler material in amounts up to 40% by weight of the composition; however as little as 10% or less by weight of the composition of sodium sulphate, or even none at all, may be present.

As well as the functional detergent ingredients listed above, there may be present various ingredients specifically to aid tableting. Binders and disintegrants have already been discussed. Tablet lubricants include calcium, magnesium and zinc soaps (especially stearates), talc, glyceryl behapate, Myvatex (Trade Mark) TL ex Eastman Kodak, sodium benzoate, sodium acetate, polyethylene glycols, and colloidal silicas (for example, Alusil (Trade Mark) ex Crosfield Chemicals Ltd).

As indicated previously, some ingredients may give both functional wash benefits and tableting benefits.

10 Examples

The following non-limiting Examples illustrate the invention. Parts and percentages are by weight unless otherwise stated. Examples identified by numbers are in accordance with the invention, while those identified by letters are comparative.

15

Examples 1 to 3

A granular detergent composition was prepared to the following formulation:

20

25

30

35

	%
Linear alkylbenzene sulphonate	25.0
Nonionic surfactant	1.5
Soap	1.0
Zeolite (anhydrous)	35.0
Water with zeolite	10.0
Sodium silicate	4.0
Acrylic/maleic copolymer	1.5
Fluorescer	0.18
SCMC	0.6
Sodium carbonate	14.3
Enzyme (alcalase (Trade Mark))	0.6
Antifoam	0.04
Alusil N	2.5
Miscellaneous (speckles, perfume, salts, water)	3.78
	100.00

40

The composition was prepared as follows: all ingredients except the enzyme, speckles and perfume were slurried and spray-dried to give a base powder; the base powder was granulated and densified in the Fukae (Trade Mark) FS-100 high speed mixer-granulator, as described and claimed in EP 340 013A (Unilever), to give a granular product of bulk density >720g/litre.

45

A slurry of the binder/disintegrant, specified below in acetone was then sprayed onto the base powder to give a coating level of 3 wt% before admixing the enzymes, speckles and perfume.

Binder/disintegrants used were:

Example 1 - cross-linked polyvinyl pyrrolidone (polyplasdone XL)

50

Example 2 - polyethylene glycol 1500

Example 3 - acrylic/maleic copolymer

55

The resulting product consisted of dense, substantially spherical granules, the particle size distribution being as follows:

	wt%
<180 μm	2.03
180-250 μm	17.07
250-500 μm	37.20
500-710 μm	15.45
710-1000 μm	10.98
1000-1700 μm	14.63
>1700 μm	2.64
	100.00

5

10

15 The particles having a size of <250 μm were removed by sieving as were particles >1400 μm in size. Upper and lower particle limits therefore differed by 1150 μm .

Comparative Example A

20 A granular detergent base composition was prepared as in Examples 1-3. However, no binder/disintegrant was sprayed onto the base powder coating the particles. Particles <250 μm and >1400 μm in size were removed as in Examples 1-3.

Comparative Example B

25 A granular detergent base composition was prepared as in Examples 1-3. However, no binder/disintegrant was sprayed onto the base powder coating the particles. Only particles >1400 μm in size were removed, particles <250 μm in size remaining within the powder.

Comparative Example C

30

A granular detergent base composition was prepared as in Examples 1-3. A slurry of polyethylene glycol 1500 in acetone was sprayed onto the base powder to give a coating level of 3 wt%, before admixing the enzymes, speckles and perfume. Only particles >1400 μm in size were removed, particles <250 μm in size remaining within the powder.

Tablet Preparation

35 Detergent tablets were prepared by compaction of the detergent powder formulations of Examples 1 to 3 and Comparative Examples A to C at compaction pressures sufficient to produce a diametral fracture stress of at least 5kPa which was determined as described earlier. The actual diametral fracture stresses obtained are shown in the Table. The tablets were produced using an Instron Universal Testing Machine to operate a steel punch and 40mm die. The tablets obtained were of circular cross-section having a diameter of 4.0cm and a thickness of approximately 1cm.

40

Comparative Example D

45 A detergent powder formulation of comparative Example B was prepared and compacted into tablets as described above. The tablets were then coated up to a level of 3 wt% with polyethylene glycol 1500.

Determination of Tablet Properties

50 Dissolution times, measured according to the test previously described were as shown in the table overleaf.

55

Examples 1 to 3; Comparative Examples A to D

Example	Diametral Fracture Stress (kPa)	Compaction Pressure (MPa)	Dissolution Time		Undissolved Residue Remaining After 5 min (wt%)
			T ₅₀ (min)	T ₉₀ (min)	
1	28.0	0.15	1.0	3.0	0
2	28.6	0.1	1.0	2.4	0
3	20.9	0.15	2.5	4.5	0
A(i)	32.0	0.25	4.0	>5.0	-
A(ii)	35.0	0.3	-	>5.0	1.5
B	27.4	0.3	-	>5.0	9.7
C	27.0	0.3	-	>5.0	1.0
D	38.0	0.3	-	>5.0	10.0

Claims

1. A tablet of compacted particulate detergent composition comprising a detergent-active compound, a detergency builder, and optionally other detergent ingredients, characterised in that the tablet or a discrete region thereof, consist essentially of a matrix of particles no more than 5wt% of which are smaller than <200 μ m, the particles of detergent-active compound and detergent builder and optionally the particles of ingredients of the detergent base powder being individually coated with a binder material which acts as a physical disintegrant capable, when the tablet is immersed in water, of disrupting the structure of the tablet; but excluding a tablet wherein at least 90wt% of the particles of the matrix have a particle size within a range having upper and lower limits differing from each other by not more than 700 μ m, while not more than 5wt% are smaller than the lower limit and not more than 5wt% are larger than the upper limit.
2. A detergent tablet as claimed in claim 1, wherein at least 90wt% of the particles of the matrix lie in a size range extending between lower and upper limits of 250 and 1400 μ m, with not more than 5wt% smaller than 250 μ m and not more than 5wt% larger than 1400 μ m.
3. A detergent tablet as claimed in any preceding claim, wherein the binder/disintegrant is present in an amount of from 0.1 to 10wt% (based on the tablet or discrete region thereof).
4. A detergent tablet as claimed in claim 3, wherein the binder/disintegrant is present in an amount of from 1 to 5wt%.
5. A detergent tablet as claimed in claim 3, wherein the binder/disintegrant is a polymer.
6. A detergent tablet as claimed in claim 5 wherein the binder/disintegrant comprises polyethylene glycol.
7. A detergent tablet as claimed in any preceding claim wherein the matrix constitutes substantially the whole of the tablet.
8. A detergent tablet as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 6, wherein the matrix contains a minor proportion of visually contrasting particles larger in at least one dimension than the particles constituting the matrix.
9. A detergent tablet as claimed in any preceding claim which is a homogeneous tablet consisting essentially of a single matrix.
10. A detergent tablet as claimed in any preceding claim, wherein the matrix is derived by compaction from a particulate composition having a bulk density of at least 500 g/litre.
11. A detergent tablet as claimed in any preceding claim, wherein the matrix is derived by compaction from a particulate

composition having a bulk density of at least 700 g/litre.

12. A detergent tablet as claimed in any preceding claim, which comprises from 5 to 60 wt% (anhydrous basis) of alkali metal aluminosilicate.
13. A detergent tablet as claimed in any preceding claim which comprises from 10 to 20wt% of post-dosed sodium carbonate.
14. A detergent tablet as claimed in any preceding claim which gives a residue not exceeding 75wt% in the following disintegration test:
 - (i) the tablet is weighed, placed in a cage of perforated metal gauze (9cm x 4.5cm x 2cm) having 16 apertures (each about 2.5mm square) per cm²;
 - (ii) the cage is then suspended in a beaker of demineralised water at 20°C and rotated at 80 rpm;
 - (iii) the time taken for the tablet to disintegrate and fall through the gauze (the disintegration time) is recorded;
 - (iv) after 10 minutes, if the tablet has not wholly disintegrated, the residue is determined by weighing after drying.
15. A detergent tablet as claimed in claim 14, which gives a residue not exceeding 50wt% in the said disintegration test.
16. A detergent tablet as claimed in claim 14 or claim 15, which has a disintegration time in the said disintegration test of not more than 10 minutes.
17. A detergent tablet as claimed in claim 16, which has a disintegration time in the said disintegration test of not more than 5 minutes.

Patentansprüche

1. Eine Tablette aus einer zusammengedrückten teilchenförmigen Detergensenzusammensetzung, enthaltend eine detergensaktive Verbindung, einen Waschkraftbuilder und gegebenenfalls andere Detergens-Bestandteile, dadurch gekennzeichnet, daß die Tablette oder ein getrennter Bereich derselben im wesentlichen aus einer Matrix von Teilchen besteht, von denen nicht mehr als 5 Gewichtsprozent derselben kleiner als 200 µm sind, wobei die Teilchen der detergensaktiven Verbindung und des Detergensbuilders und gegebenenfalls die Teilchen der Bestandteile des Detergens-Basis-pulvers einzeln mit einem Bindematerial beschichtet sind, welches als ein physikalisches Abbaumittel wirkt, fähig, wenn die Tablette in Wasser eingetaucht ist, des Spaltens der Struktur der Tablette; jedoch ausschließlich eine Tablette, worin zumindest 90 Gewichtsprozent der Teilchen der Matrix eine Teilchengröße innerhalb eines Bereiches aufweisen, mit oberen und unteren Grenzen, die voneinander um nicht mehr als 700 µm differieren, während nicht mehr als 5 Gewichtsprozent kleiner als der untere Grenzwert und nicht mehr als 5 Gewichtsprozent größer als der obere Grenzwert sind.
2. Eine Detergenstablette nach Anspruch 1, worin zumindest 90 Gewichtsprozent der Teilchen der Matrix in einem Größenbereich liegen, der sich zwischen unteren und oberen Grenzwerten von 250 und 1400 µm erstreckt, mit nicht mehr als 5 Gewichtsprozent kleiner als 250 µm und nicht mehr als 5 Gewichtsprozent größer als 1400 µm.
3. Eine Detergenstablette nach einem der vorstehenden Ansprüche, worin das Bindemittel/Abbaumittel in einer Menge im Bereich von 0,1 bis 10 Gewichtsprozent (auf Basis der Tablette oder des getrennten Bereiches derselben) vorhanden ist.
4. Eine Detergenstablette nach Anspruch 3, worin das Bindemittel/Abbaumittel in einer Menge im Bereich von 1 bis 5 Gewichtsprozent vorhanden ist.
5. Eine Detergenstablette nach Anspruch 3, worin das Bindemittel/Abbaumittel ein Polymeres ist.
6. Eine Detergenstablette nach Anspruch 5, worin das Bindemittel/Abbaumittel ein Polyethylenglykol umfaßt.
7. Eine Detergenstablette nach einem der vorstehenden Ansprüche, worin die Matrix im wesentlichen die Gesamtheit der Tablette ausmacht.

8. Eine Detergenstablette nach einem der Ansprüche 1 bis 6, worin die Matrix einen kleineren Anteil an visuell kontrastierenden Teilchen, größer in zumindest einer Dimension, als die Teilchen, welche die Matrix bilden, enthält.
9. Eine Detergenstablette nach einem der vorstehenden Ansprüche, welche eine homogene Tablette ist, bestehend
5 im wesentlichen aus einer einzelnen Matrix.
10. Eine Detergenstablette nach einem der vorstehenden Ansprüche, worin sich die Matrix durch Verdichtung aus einer teilchenförmigen Zusammensetzung mit einer Schüttdichte von zumindest 500 g/Liter ableitet.
11. Eine Detergenstablette nach einem der vorstehenden Ansprüche, worin sich die Matrix durch Verdichtung aus
10 einer teilchenförmigen Zusammensetzung mit einer Schüttdichte von zumindest 700 g/Liter ableitet.
12. Eine Detergenstablette nach einem der vorstehenden Ansprüche, welche von 5 bis 60 Gewichtsprozent (wasserfreie Basis) Alkalimetallaluminosilicat enthält.
13. Eine Detergenstablette nach einem der vorstehenden Ansprüche, welche von 10 bis 20 Gewichtsprozent nach-
15 dosiertes Natriumcarbonat enthält.
14. Eine Detergenstablette nach einem der vorstehenden Ansprüche, welche einen 75 Gewichtsprozent nicht über-
20 steigenden Rest in dem nachfolgenden Auflösungstest liefert:

 (i) Die Tablette wird gewogen, in einem Korb aus perforiertem Metallgewebe (9 cm × 4,5 cm × 2 cm) mit 16 Maschen (jede etwa 2,5 mm im Quadrat) pro cm² plziert;
 (ii) der Korb wird dann in ein Becherglas mit entmineralisiertem Wasser bei 20°C eingehängt und mit 80 UpM
25 rotiert;
 (iii) die Zeit, in welcher sich die Tablette auflöst und durch das Gewebe hindurchfällt (die Auflösungszeit), wird aufgezeichnet;
 (iv) wenn sich die Tablette nach 10 Minuten nicht vollständig aufgelöst hat, wird der Rückstand durch Wiegen nach dem Trocknen bestimmt.
15. Eine Detergenstablette nach Anspruch 14, welche in dem genannten Auflösungstest einen Rückstand liefert, der
30 50 Gewichtsprozent nicht übersteigt.
16. Eine Detergenstablette nach einem der Ansprüche 14 oder 15, welche in dem genannten Auflösungstest eine
35 Auflösungszeit von nicht mehr als 10 Minuten hat.
17. Eine Detergenstablette nach Anspruch 16, welche in dem genannten Auflösungstest eine Auflösungszeit von nicht
40 mehr als 5 Minuten hat.

Revendications

1. Tablette de composition détergente particulière densifiée comprenant un composé détergent actif, un adjuvant de
45 détergence et, facultativement, d'autres ingrédients détergents, caractérisée en ce que la tablette ou une région séparée de celle-ci, consiste essentiellement en une matrice de particules dont pas plus de 5% en poids sont plus petites que 200 µm, les particules de composé détergent actif et d'adjuvant détergent et, facultativement, les particules d'ingrédients de la poudre de base détergente étant individuellement enrobées avec une matière liante qui agit comme désintégrant physique capable, quand la tablette est immergée dans l'eau, de rompre la structure de la tablette ; mais à l'exclusion d'une tablette dans laquelle au moins 90% en poids des particules de la matrice
50 ont une granulométrie dans une gamme ayant des limites inférieure et supérieure différant l'une de l'autre de pas plus de 700 µm, tandis que pas plus de 5% en poids sont plus petites que la limite inférieure et pas plus de 5% en poids sont plus grandes que la limite supérieure.
2. Tablette détergente selon la revendication 1, dans laquelle au moins 90% en poids des particules de la matrice
55 se situent dans une gamme dimensionnelle s'étendant entre les limite inférieure et supérieure de 250 et 1400 µm avec pas plus de 5% en poids plus petites que 250 µm et pas plus de 5% en poids plus grandes que 1400 µm.
3. Tablette détergente selon la revendication précédente, dans laquelle le liant/désintégrant est présent en une quan-

tité de 0,1 à 10% en poids (basé sur la tablette ou une région séparée de celle-ci).

4. Tablette détergente selon la revendication 3, dans laquelle le liant/désintégrant est présent en une quantité de 1 à 5% en poids.
5. Tablette détergente selon la revendication 3, dans laquelle le liant/désintégrant est un polymère.
6. Tablette détergente selon la revendication 5, dans laquelle le liant/désintégrant comprend du polyéthylène-glycol.
7. Tablette détergente selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes, dans laquelle la matrice constitue sensiblement la globalité de la tablette.
8. Tablette détergente selon l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 6, dans laquelle la matrice contient une petite proportion de particules à contraste visuel plus grandes d'au moins une dimension que les particules constituant la matrice.
9. Tablette détergente selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes, qui est une tablette homogène consistant essentiellement en une matrice unique.
10. Tablette détergente selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes, dans laquelle la matrice est dérivée par densification d'une composition particulière ayant une densité apparente d'au moins 500 g/litre.
11. Tablette détergente selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes, dans laquelle la matrice est dérivée par densification d'une composition particulière ayant une densité apparente d'au moins 700 g/litre.
12. Tablette détergente selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes, qui comprend de 5 à 60% en poids (base anhydre) d'aluminosilicate de métal alcalin.
13. Tablette détergente selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes qui comprend de 10 à 20% en poids de carbonate de sodium post-dosé.
14. Tablette détergente selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes qui donne un résidu n'excédant pas 75% en poids dans le test de désintégration suivant :
 - (i) on pèse la tablette, on la place dans une cage de gaze métallique perforée (9 x 4,5 x 2 cm) ayant 16 ouvertures (chacune d'environ 2,5 mm²)/cm² ;
 - (ii) la cage est ensuite suspendue dans un bûcher d'eau déminéralisée à 20°C et mise en rotation à 80 t/min ;
 - (iii) le temps mis pour que la tablette se désintègre et tombe à travers la gaze (temps de désintégration) est enregistré ;
 - (iv) après 10 minutes, si la tablette n'est pas entièrement désintégrée, le résidu est déterminé par pesage après séchage.
15. Tablette détergente selon la revendication 14, qui donne un résidu n'excédant pas 50% en poids dans ledit test de désintégration.
16. Tablette détergente selon la revendication 14 ou 15, qui a un temps de désintégration dans ledit test de désintégration de pas plus de 10 minutes.
17. Tablette détergente selon la revendication 16, qui a un temps de désintégration dans ledit test de désintégration de pas plus de 5 minutes.